UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,085	10/04/2005	Matthias Fehr	EIS-1097/500593.20090	4150
26418 REED SMITH,	7590 10/05/200 LLP	EXAMINER		
ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT			LU, ZHIYU	
	599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650			PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/552,085	FEHR ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		ZHIYU LU	2618			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. To period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 A</u>	uaust 2009				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
· · ·		n				
•	Claim(s) <u>28-36</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>28-36</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement				
ا ا	are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the ${ t E}$	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/552,085 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 28-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 28 and 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereafter, AAPA, citation refers to published application) in view of Courtney et al. (US6469658), Ono et al. (US5652589), and Anzai et al. (US5793331). Regarding claim 28, AAPA teaches a wireless microphone system having an HF transmitter, said HF transmitter comprising:
 - a transmitter housing (inherent in microphone, paragraph 0008);
- at least one antenna unit having an antenna and a circulator or an HF isolator, the circulator or HF isolator being connected to the antenna (paragraph 0008);

But, AAPA does not expressly disclose a transmitting amplifier; but decoupling the antenna unit from the transmitting amplifier to ensure that the transmitting amplifier can operate in a constant operating range; said antenna and said circulator or said HF isolator being arranged in a common

antenna unit housing; and wherein the antenna unit can be plugged in the transmitter housing or screwed onto the transmitter housing such that the antenna unit is replaceable as a unit.

Nevertheless, having a transmitting amplifier is a well-known practice in wireless communication.

Courtney et al. teach a RF transmitter having a transmitting amplifier (22 of Fig. 2); but decoupling the antenna unit (36 of Fig. 2) from the transmitting amplifier to ensure that the transmitting amplifier can operate in a constant operating range (non-variable amplifier provides constant operating range), antenna and HF isolator are arranged as interchangeable components (Fig. 2, column 7 lines 20-29), wherein the antenna unit can be connected with the transmitter housing such that the antenna unit is replaceable as a unit (Fig. 2), which would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate said transmitter structure into the system of AAPA, in order to provide convenient antenna adaption.

Moreover, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to put said antenna and circulator into a common housing for replacement, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formally been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art, Howard v. Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.S.164 (1893).

Ono et al. further show that antenna and circulator can indeed be arranged in a common antenna unit housing (M of Fig. 3, column 5 lines 62-66), which would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of AAPA and Courtney et al. for preferential practice.

Anzai et al. teach antenna unit can be screwed onto the transmitter housing (microphone itself) such that the antenna unit is replaceable as a unit (column 1 lines 55-60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate replace antenna unit by screwing process taught by Anzai et al. into the wireless microphone system of AAPA, Courtnety et al., and Ono et al., in order to replace and fix antenna unit.

Regarding claim 30, AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al. teach a pocket transmitter microphone as explained in response to claim 28 above.

Regarding claim 31, AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al. teach a hand transmitter microphone as explained in response to claim 28 above.

Regarding claim 33, AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al. teach a wireless microphone device as explained in response to claim 28 above.

Regarding claim 35, AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al. teach a HF transmitter as explained in response to claim 28 above.

Regarding claims 32 and 34, AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al. teach the limitations of claims 28 and 33.

Courtney et al. teach wherein the antenna unit is tuned to a given frequency range (column 7 lines 22-24).

Application/Control Number: 10/552,085

Art Unit: 2618

3. Claims 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant

Page 5

Admitted Prior Art (hereafter, AAPA, citation refers to published application) in view of

Courtney et al. (US6469658), and Anzai et al. (US5793331).

Regarding claim 33, AAPA teach a wireless microphone device, comprising:

a receiving device (microphone) having at or in its high frequency input an antenna unit

having an antenna said and a circulator or an HF isolator being connected to the antenna

(paragraph 0008).

But, AAPA does not expressly disclose said antenna and said circulator or said HF isolator being

arranged in a common housing of the antenna unit; and wherein the antenna unit can be plugged

in or screwed on such that the antenna unit is replaceable as a unit.

Courtney et al. teach in a RF transmitter where said antenna (36 of Fig. 2) and said circulator (24

of Fig. 2) being arranged as interchangeable components (Fig. 2, column 7 lines 20-29), wherein

it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to put said antenna and said circulator into a common housing for replacement, since it has

been held that forming in one piece an article which has formally been formed in two pieces and

put together involves only routine skill in the art. Howard v. Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.S. 164

(1893).

Anzai et al. teach antenna unit can be screwed on such that the antenna unit is replaceable as a

unit (column 1 lines 55-60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate replacing antenna unit by screwing process taught by Anzai et al. into the wireless microphone system of AAPA and Courtney et al., in order replace and fix antenna unit.

Regarding claim 34, AAPA, Courtney et al., and Anzai et al. teach the limitation of claim 33. Courtney et al. teach wherein the antenna unit is tuned to a given frequency range (column 7 lines 22-24).

4. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereafter AAPA, citations refer to published specification) in view of Courtney et al. (US Patent#6469658), Ono et al. (US5652589), Anzai et al. (US Patent#5793331), and Kawasaki et al. (US2002/0197957).

Regarding claim 29, AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al. teach the limitation of claim 28.

AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al. teach a hand transmitter microphone or a pocket transmitter microphone, wherein at least one antenna unit is plugged in or screwed on to the hand transmitter microphone or the pocket transmitter microphone (paragraph 0008).

But, AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al. do not expressly disclose further comprising a receiver, and wherein at least one antenna unit is plugged in or screwed on to the receiver.

Kawasaki et al. teach a wireless microphone system having a transmitter microphone (101 of Fig. 1) and a receiver (102 of Fig. 1), where obviously antenna unit could be replaceable in view of Courtney's teaching (column 7 lines 20-29) for tuned frequency usage.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a receiver for reception taught by Kawasaki et al. into the wireless microphone system of AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al., in order to receive microphone transmission with tuned frequency component.

5. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereafter AAPA, citations refer to published specification) in view of Courtney et al. (US Patent#6469658), Ono et al. (US5652589), Anzai et al. (US Patent#5793331), and Conover (US Patent#6418377)

Regarding claim 36, AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al. teach the limitation of claim 35.

But, AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al. do not expressly disclose wherein the antenna unit comprises a visible identification, coding or color marking indicating the given frequency range.

Conover teach using color coding on antenna, so that purchasers can easily find the appropriate antenna (column 4 line 66 to column 5 line 3), which would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the color coding could be modified into usage for frequency range

coding in view Courtney et al.'s teaching on replaceable antenna unit for different frequency usage.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate using color coding on antenna product taught by Conover into the HF transmitter of AAPA, Courtney et al., Ono et al., and Anzai et al., in order to provide legend for purchasers to find the appropriate antenna unit.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,085 Page 9

Art Unit: 2618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to ZHIYU LU whose telephone number is (571)272-2837. The

examiner can normally be reached on Weekdays: 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7503. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Zhiyu Lu Examiner

Art Unit 2618

/Zhiyu Lu/

Examiner, Art Unit 2618

September 30, 2009

/Duc Nguyen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618